

REMARKS

This is a response to the office action issued Feb. 10, 2006. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-10 and 12-20 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross in view of Harris. Claims 1,4, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Herle et al. in view of Harris. Claims 13, 14 and 17-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaiwa et al. in view of Harris.

In the pending action, the examiner rejects the claims as obvious over various combinations of Ross, Harris, Herle et al. and Kaiwa et al. as stated above. The newly cited Harris reference does not overcome the shortcomings of the other previously cited references because it does not teach a system in which a user activates a handset to send a message to a telephone service provider who blocks location determination of the handset. The applicant's prior submissions outline the shortcomings of the other references.

For the above reasons, the examiner will find that the pending claims are now allowable. The examiner is

respectfully requested to place the case in condition for allowance at her earliest convenience.



Respectfully submitted

*Clifford Kraft*

Clifford H. Kraft  
Reg. No. 35,229  
Attorney of Record

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Clifford H. Kraft  
320 Robin Hill Dr.  
Naperville, IL 60540

708 528-9092 tel.

This paper is being submitted by United States First Class Mail with sufficient postage addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 by:

Signature: *Clifford Kraft*

Name: Clifford H. Kraft

Date: *APR 28, 2006*